A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports — reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Reference of report	Cantero Muñoz P, Maceira Rozas MC. Entrecruzamiento del colágeno corneal asistido con iontoforesis: CXL-I [Iontophoresis assisted corneal cross-linking (CXL-I)]. Santiago de Compostela: Agencia Gallega para la Gestión del Conocimiento en Salud (ACIS). Unidad de Asesoramiento Científicotécnico (avalia-t) 2016. Report No.: CT2016/03. Available from: https://avaliat.sergas.gal/DXerais/687/CT201603CrosslinkingDEF.pdf					
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t					
Name of person completing the checklist	Paula Cantero Muñoz					
Email	Avalia-t@sergas.es					

Item								Yes	ГР	artly	No	
Preliminary										,		
Appropriate contact details for further information?								Х				
2. Authors identified?								Х				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?								Х				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?								Х	X			
5. Short summary in non-technical language?									X			
Why?												
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?								Х	X			
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?								Х	Х			
8. Scope of the assessment specified?								Х	Х			
9. Description of the assessed health technology?								Х	X			
How?												
10. Details on so	ources of	finformat	ion and	literature	search	n strategies p	orovic	ded?				
Search strategy	Datal	Databases		Year range		anguage estriction			imary Other kind data information		mation	
YES	Y	YES		Until may 2016		YES		YES	YES		resources YES	
			List of uded studies YES			Inclusion criteria YES			Exclusion criteria YES			
11. Information of	n basis	for the as	sessm	ent and int	erpret	ation of selec	cted	data and	info		?	
Method of data extraction described? method asses literatur		al appraisal of (for quality sment of the re) described?		Method of data synthesis described		ta	es pres	Results of the assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form of evidence tables?				
YES YES YES YES												
Context?		.4. /			,	A .)						
12. Information o			may not					 	41 11		-4:	
(Medico-) legal implications considered? NO	a pı	conomic nalysis rovided? ARTIAL		Ethical implications considered?		Social implications considered? NO		(sta	Other perspectives (stakeholders, patients consumers) considered NO			
What then?								Yes	Р	artly	No	
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?								Χ				
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?							Χ					
15. Suggestions for further action?											Х	